	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/658,698	SILVERSTEIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	F. Pierre VanderVegt	1644
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) F. Pierre VanderVegt.	(3)	
(2) <u>Alan J. Morrison</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 November 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 17		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
62.00m		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant and Examiner reached an agreement upon an amendment to claim 17 that addresses the antecedent basis of terms within the claims and is consistent with the specification. Applicant agreed to allow entry of this amendment via Examiner's amendment to expidite handling and authorized the charging of the fee for a one-month extension of time..